CEMAS User Trial

Daan Huizer Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences (IRAS) Utrecht University







INSTITUTE OF OCCUPATIONAL MEDICINE Edinburgh, EH14 4AP, UK

www.iom-world.org

INSTITUTE OF RISK ASSESSMENT SCIENCES IRAS, P.O. Box 80.176, NL-3508 TD Utrecht. www.iras.uu.nl/index

Aim

Test and evaluate CEMAS in practice

- how does CEMAS function in practice?
- does CEMAS offer surplus value according to user?
- what adjustments and updates have to be made to improve CEMAS?



Outlines

Actual user trial:

- 2 groups of OH/IH professionals (n=12)
- 2 countries, NL & UK
- Standardized, detailed information

Additionally, 66 copies were distributed to:

- International 'colleagues' (n=11)
- Chemical Industry and OH representatives (through CEFIC LRI project officer) (n=19)
- Interested persons at REACH workshop organized by BOHS, December 2005 (n=36)
 to obtain more general information



User trial set up (may-nov 2005)

Recruitment of volunteers

NL: through NVvA mailing list

UK: through BOHS register and other OH

contacts

Initial working visits interview, installation, instruction, and demo of CEMAS

- Actual trial period
 exploration/application of CEMAS, 6-10 weeks
- Re-visits and recording of user data



Criteria

- Installation of the software
- General structure and concept
- Input of user data
- Exposure survey management
- E-risk
- Output: reporting and analysis



Installation of the software

- 9 out of 12 installations without problems
- Built-in MS Access runtime module overcomes most Access related problems
- Local security settings can cause difficulties, consult therefore system administrator

<u>User comments</u> general structure and concept

- Combination of all types of data (premises, exposure, risk assessment) in single piece of software is much appreciated
- Link of exposure data seen as relevant
- Build up of long term exposure data bank with related exposure and contextual data useful



<u>User comments</u> general structure and concept

- At first glance CEMAS looks complex, although understandable after working with it
- Maneuvering found to be disorderly sometimes
- Initially time consuming
- Lack of knowledge in very small companies



<u>User comments</u> data input by user

- "...Possibilities to add user data nearly infinite..."
- Note that many data fields are optional
- 'Jobs & SOCs' for Dutch users unknown
- Confidentiality issue concerning data sharing
- Some terminology confusing for (Dutch) user.



<u>User comments</u> exposure survey management

- Data coverage good
- Link across time is useful but needs more enhancement
- Worker event tracking good but time consuming
- Structure of data set not always recognizable for some users



<u>User comments</u> E-risk

- Combination of data collection and risk assessment appreciated
- For Dutch situation COSHH essentials not very familiar. In UK COSHH is better recognized and generally accepted
- Endorsed by regulatory authority?
- Saving and re-adjusting of ERA easy



<u>User comments</u> Output: reporting and analysis

- Still a bit limited
- Import /export functions need to be improved
- Communication to downstream users?
- Calculation of overexposure



Next steps and updates

- Improve and simplify for more user-focused interface:
 - · More dropdown menus for categorical data
 - Navigation more layered & intuitive (hide complexity of model)
 - Flag Mandatory and Optional data entries
- REACH and ES facilities
- Import/export & data interchange (up and down stream)
- Additional reporting and summary stats
- Advancing EDB standards, and xml possibilities
- Security features
- More advanced Help, training and example data & scenarios
- Implementation possibilities
 - Back end to Client Server database
 - .net C/S or Web version of database
 - Better installation etc
 - Exploit best of new technology



Conclusion

CEMAS' first 'touch' with practice positive

 Many reactions from users good evaluation before further development of CEMAS

User feedback proofs the need for concept like CEMAS